

Stable Spin Precession at one Half of Equilibrium Magnetization in Superfluid $^3\text{He-B}$

V.V. Dmitriev^{1,2}, I.V. Kosarev¹, M. Krusius², D.V. Ponarin¹, V.M.H. Ruutu², and G.E. Volovik^{2,3}

¹*Kapitza Institute for Physical Problems, 117334 Moscow, Russia,*

²*Low Temperature Laboratory, Helsinki University of Technology, 02150 Espoo, Finland,*

³*Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, 117334 Moscow, Russia.*

(February 1, 2008)

New stable modes of spin precession have been observed in superfluid $^3\text{He-B}$. These dynamical order parameter states include precession with a magnetization $S = pS_{eq}$ which is different from the equilibrium value S_{eq} . We have identified modes with $p = 1, 1/2$ and ≈ 0 . The $p = 1/2$ mode is the second member of phase correlated states of a spin superfluid. The new states can be excited in the temperature range $1 - T/T_c \lesssim 0.02$ where the energy barriers between the different local minima of the spin-orbit energy are small. They are stable in CW NMR due to low dissipation close to T_c .

PACS numbers: 67.57.Lm, 76.60.-k

A number of stable states of spin precession have been observed and identified in NMR experiments on the normal and B phases of liquid ^3He and on $^3\text{He-}^4\text{He}$ solutions [1,2,3,4]. Some of these states display the same features of spontaneously broken symmetry, which characterize quantum coherence in superfluids and superconductors in general. Here the role of the supercurrent is played by spin currents [5]. The first representative of such states – the Homogeneously Precessing Domain (HPD) in $^3\text{He-B}$ – is clearly phase coherent since its spin-current displays the Josephson effect, it supports topological spin-current vortices and collective modes, which result from the spin rigidity of this dynamical state. The main difference from the more generic superfluid and superconducting systems is the following: The mass current conserves the particle number while in the coherently precessing states the spin is not a conserved quantity due to the spin-orbit (dipole) interaction. To stabilize a steady state of precession external radio-frequency (RF) pumping has to be applied to compensate for spin and energy relaxation. The smaller the dissipation, the smaller can be the applied RF field, and the closer the system will be to the limit of an ideal coherent dynamical state of a spin superfluid.

We report the observation of new precessing states in $^3\text{He-B}$ with nonlinear dynamics of the order parameter. These states were stabilized with continuous wave (CW) NMR in the vicinity of the superfluid transition temperature T_c . As distinct from the HPD mode, where the precessing magnetization has the thermal equilibrium value $S_{eq} = (\chi/\gamma)H$ (χ is the susceptibility, γ the gyromagnetic ratio, and H the static external magnetic field), some of the new states have a fractional value $S = pS_{eq}$, with $p \approx 0.5$, in particular. The new modes have been identified by direct measurement of the magnetization using a combination of CW and pulsed NMR techniques. At fixed H in the desired precessing state we switched off the CW RF pumping. Immediately after that a 90° tipping pulse was applied and the free induction decay (FID) signal was recorded (inset in Fig. 1). On com-

paring its amplitude with that of the FID obtained after a 90° tipping pulse in normal ^3He just above T_c we find that for all *half-magnetization* (HM) states the amplitude ratio is 0.50 ± 0.03 and not dependent on the initial phase of the tipping pulse. The latter means that the magnetization is oriented mostly along $\mathbf{H}$. The amplitude of the FID signal then indicates that $S = \frac{1}{2}S_{eq}$. The duration of the FID in the HM states is closely the same as in normal ^3He . This implies that the HM states preserve their configuration even in the absence of RF pumping.

Experiments.—Measurements were carried out with nuclear demagnetization cryostats both in Helsinki and in Moscow. In Helsinki a cylindrical quartz NMR cell with a diameter $D = 5$ mm and length $L = 7$ mm was connected to the rest of the ^3He chamber via a small orifice in the bottom of the cell. In Moscow a similar set-up was used with $D = 3.7$ mm and $L = 4$ mm. The inside surface of this second container was covered with lavsan (optically smooth polyethylen foil similar to mylar). The measurements were performed in fields $H = 73 - 284$ Oe (corresponding to the Larmor frequencies $\omega_L/2\pi = \gamma H/2\pi$ of 235 to 920 kHz), oriented parallel to the container axis at pressures $P = 0 - 12$ bar. In both cryostats we used standard CW NMR spectrometers to monitor the in-phase dispersion ($\propto S_x$) and out-of-phase absorption ($\propto S_y$) signals. In Moscow pulsed NMR measurements were also performed and, to check the influence of spatial inhomogeneity on the spin dynamics, the homogeneity of the H field was improved from $\delta H/H \sim 7 \cdot 10^{-4}$ in Helsinki to $5 \cdot 10^{-5}$. However, it was found that the application of a small gradient in the axial orientation did not change the results qualitatively.

In both cryostats we found that in large transverse RF field $H_{RF} \sim 0.02 - 0.06$ Oe the CW NMR line shape drastically changes at temperatures $T \gtrsim 0.98 T_c$. In Fig. 1 the absorption and dispersion signals are shown, measured at fixed excitation frequency ω_{RF} during a slow linear sweep of the static field H . The line-shape displays abrupt discontinuities, which are reproducible from

FIG. 1. *Inset*: Free induction decay signals after a 90° tipping pulse from the HM4 mode (thick lines) and from normal ^3He (thin lines). The ratio of the amplitudes is 0.52 initially. The signals are recorded with a digital oscilloscope. *Main frame*: Measured absorption (S_y) and dispersion (S_x) during a sweep of increasing field. (a) Lavsan cell, $P = 0$, $T \sim 0.997 T_c$, $H_{\text{RF}} \sim 0.02$ Oe, $\omega_{\text{RF}}/2\pi = 334$ kHz. (b) Quartz cell, $P = 5$ bar, $T \sim 0.99 T_c$, $H_{\text{RF}} = 0.038$ Oe; $\omega_{\text{RF}}/2\pi = 380$ kHz. The FID in the inset was measured at the value of H marked by the open arrow.

FIG. 2. Dispersion (S_x) signal during downward field sweep and slow warm-up: Lavsan cell, $P = 0$, $H_{\text{RF}} \sim 0.02$ Oe; $\omega_{\text{RF}}/2\pi = 461$ kHz. The dashed line corresponds to an upward field sweep starting from the SW mode. The modes marked as A appear in the region close to the Larmor field where the remanent inhomogeneity complicates identification.

one measurement to the next and indicate transitions between different states of spin precession. The transitions appear at negative frequency shift $\omega_{\text{RF}} - \gamma H$ during a sweep in the direction of increasing field (Fig. 1) or at positive frequency shift during a sweep down (Fig. 2). The transitions are mostly of first order since they are hysteretic with respect to the direction of the field sweep (dashed line in Fig. 2). The line shapes in Fig. 2 have been recorded during a slow warm-up. The lower most spectrum is outside the temperature region of the new states and represents the usual NMR line with the excitation of spin waves in the static $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ texture at low RF level [6]. We call it here the *spin wave mode* (SW). At higher temperatures transitions to new states appear, such that a sequence of different states is traversed during the field sweep as the temperature increases towards T_c .

Precessing States.—To identify the different states in Figs. 1 and 2 we determine with the help of the NMR spectrum the dependence of the total magnetization S and its transverse component $S_\perp = S \sin \beta = \sqrt{S_x^2 + S_y^2}$ on T , H_{RF} and $\omega_{\text{RF}} - \omega_L$, and compare with analytical or numerical results. A number of stable solutions have been proposed to Leggett's equations of ^3He -B spin dynamics [7,8,9,10]. The relevant cases have been summarized in Fig. 3 in up-dated form. In our numerical simulation of the CW NMR measurement we used a spatially homogeneous H , with otherwise realistic values of the experimental parameters, the dipole interaction and Leggett-Takagi relaxation in the bulk liquid [6].

In Fig. 3 the different states are classified in terms of the magnitude and direction of the spin $\mathbf{S}$ in the precessing frame, and the orientation of the order parameter, which in ^3He -B can be represented by the direction of the density of the orbital momentum of Cooper pairs $\mathbf{L}$ in the laboratory frame. For the isotropic $J = 0$ state of

FIG. 3. Diagram of precessing states in the plane $S_z/S_{\text{eq}} - S_\perp/S_{\text{eq}}$, where $\mathbf{S}_{\text{eq}} = (\chi/\gamma)\mathbf{H}$. SW ($\square$) denotes conventional NMR where spin waves are excited in the stationary order parameter texture. The BS mode exists on the semicircle $S = S_{\text{eq}}$ (thick black line), with $\mathbf{L} \parallel \mathbf{H}$. The phase-coherent HPD state is the dashed part of the BS semicircle where $\beta > 104^\circ$. The BS mode with $\beta < 104^\circ$ is stabilized for the first time in the present CW NMR experiments. The HM states (dashed semicircle) have $\frac{1}{2}S_{\text{eq}}$ while nearly zero magnetization is observed in the ZM states. The observed ZM ($\triangle$) and HM ($\circ$) states are close to the line of aligned spins (AS) with $\mathbf{S} \parallel \mathbf{H} \perp \mathbf{L}$.

^3He -B the magnitude of the orbital momentum $L = S$. The existence of many different stable states is due to the particular form of the spin-orbit interaction F_D . If the Zeeman energy is much larger than F_D , then F_D is averaged over the fast Larmor precession and its average $F_D(s_z, l_z)$ depends on the orientations of $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{L}$. Here $s_z = S_z/S$, $l_z = L_z/L$, and $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ is the direction of $\mathbf{H}$. F_D has a local minimum in the so-called resonance case, when the magnitude of $S \approx S_{\text{eq}}$ [11]. Two more resonance cases with local minima of F_D have been predicted to exist [9], namely with $S \approx pS_{\text{eq}}$, where $p = 1/2$ or 2. The stable precessing states are concentrated near these main attractors in $\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{L}$ space.

The resonance phenomenon can be pictured as double resonance. In the frame rotating about the direction of $\mathbf{H}$ with the frequency ω_{RF} , the RF field H_{RF} is constant and the total uncompensated field is:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}} = \hat{\mathbf{z}}(\omega_L - \omega_{\text{RF}})/\gamma + \hat{\mathbf{x}}H_{\text{RF}} \quad (1)$$

The doubly precessing states are excited by the dipole torque, which generates the resonance harmonic $\tilde{\omega}_L = \gamma\tilde{H}$, if the following condition is satisfied by the magnitude of the magnetization:

$$S = (\chi/\gamma^2)(p\omega_{\text{RF}} + \gamma\tilde{H}) \quad (2)$$

where $p = 0, 1/2, 1$, or 2. In the experiment magnetic relaxation and spatial inhomogeneity are important, and Eq. (2) becomes approximate, but the nature of the states remains unchanged.

Brinkman-Smith Mode.—Conventional linear CW NMR response in the SW mode corresponds to small oscillations of $\mathbf{S}$ about $\mathbf{S}_{\text{eq}}$ (Fig. 3). In this state the orienting effect of the field $\mathbf{H}$ on $\mathbf{L}$ is weak and spatially the $\mathbf{L}$ -vector forms a broad texture due to the boundary conditions [6]. When the RF amplitude is increased, the SW mode becomes unstable and generally the HPD mode takes over. Here spin currents maintain phase coherence of the precession of $\mathbf{S}$ at an angle $\beta \gtrsim \theta_L$, where $\theta_L \approx 104^\circ$ is the Leggett angle [6]. The precursor of the HPD, the *Brinkman-Smith mode* (BS) [7] was actually the first of the extraordinary precessing states which was

observed in early pulsed NMR experiments [12]. We find now that the BS mode with $\beta < \theta_L$ can also be stabilized in CW NMR in our quartz cell (Fig. 1). In this case $\mathbf{S}$ is oriented along $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$ in the rotating frame [10],

$$\mathbf{S}_{\text{BS}} \approx S_{\text{eq}} \tilde{\mathbf{H}} / \tilde{H} \quad , \quad \sin \beta = H_{\text{RF}} / \tilde{H} \quad . \quad (3)$$

The BS mode is identified by its transverse spin $S_{\perp} = S \sin \beta$, which was found to be proportional to the RF level and inversely proportional to the frequency shift, with no dependence on T , in agreement with Eq. 3.

In the BS state the dipole energy orients $\mathbf{L}$ along $\mathbf{H}$ (Fig. 3). This leads to textural transitions, which explain the discontinuities in Fig. 1 on moving from the SW into the BS state and back. Such a textural transition was observed in pulsed NMR [13], as suggested in Ref. [14].

Half-Magnetization Modes.—We observe four different HM states. HM1 – HM3 exist at $\omega_{\text{RF}} > \omega_L$ and differ from each other by the sign and magnitude of the dispersion (Fig. 2). HM4 exists only at $\omega_{\text{RF}} < \omega_L$ (Fig. 1). All these states lie in Fig. 3 in the vicinity of the positive intersection of the $p = 1/2$ circle with the vertical line, the locus of the aligned spin (AS) states $\mathbf{S} \parallel \mathbf{H}$. On the AS line there is a degeneracy in the orientation of the orbital momentum: $\mathbf{L} \perp \mathbf{H}$. Thus a transition from the SW or BS modes to the HM-modes is signalled by a textural transition.

We believe that HM4 represents the canonical HM state which has similar coherent spin-superfluid properties as the HPD. The existence of this state is verified by minimizing the dipole and spectroscopic energies, $F_D(l_z, s_z) - (\omega_L - \omega_{\text{RF}})S_z$, where [9]

$$F_D(s_z, l_z) = (\chi/10\gamma^2)\Omega_B^2[1 + 2l_z^2s_z^2 + (1 - l_z^2)(1 - s_z^2) - (2/3)(1 + l_z)(1 + s_z)\sqrt{(1 - l_z^2)(1 - s_z^2)}] \quad . \quad (4)$$

Here Ω_B is the characteristic longitudinal resonance frequency of $^3\text{He-B}$. We observe the HM4 mode in the region of relatively large negative frequency shift, where the transverse spin $S_{\perp} = S \sin \beta$ is then given by

$$\sin \beta \approx (1 + w^2/4)^{-1/2} \quad , \quad w = 15\omega_{\text{RF}}(\omega_{\text{RF}} - \omega_L)/2\Omega_B^2.$$

For $T/T_c > 0.995$ and $H - \omega_{\text{RF}}/\gamma > 0.05$ Oe one has $\beta \ll 1$, in agreement with our observation that $\mathbf{S}$ is almost aligned along $\mathbf{H}$.

The other HM states at $\omega_{\text{RF}} > \omega_L$ cannot be investigated analytically, but we compare them to our numerical simulations. The results reproduce the measured properties of the HM1 and HM3 states, such as the very small dispersion of HM1 in a wide range of positive frequency shifts, as shown in Fig. 4. In all HM states the order parameter vector $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ precesses with the frequency $\omega_{\text{RF}}/2$ while the spin precesses with the frequency ω_{RF} . The nature of the HM2 state is not clear. Its main features,

FIG. 4. Dispersion (S_x), absorption (S_y) and the total spin (S) normalized to S_{eq} , from numerical simulation at two different T . The downward field sweep was started from $\gamma H > \omega_{\text{RF}}$ but new states appear only when $\gamma H < \omega_{\text{RF}}$ (the latter region is shown). The parameters correspond roughly to the experimental conditions: $P = 0$, $H_{\text{RF}} = 0.02$ Oe, $\omega_{\text{RF}}/2\pi = 460$ kHz, $T_1 = 0.5$ s. Similar calculations for an upward field sweep, starting from $\gamma H < \omega_{\text{RF}}$, give the HM4 state, when $\gamma H > \omega_{\text{RF}}$, as in Fig 1.

large positive dispersion and $|S_z| = 0.5$, were not found in computer simulations in homogeneous field. In contrast to all other modes, HM2 was observed only at small frequency shifts, $H - \omega_{\text{RF}}/\gamma \sim \delta H$, where δH is the remanent field inhomogeneity (about 0.02 Oe in Fig. 2). For $|\omega_{\text{RF}} - \omega_L| \lesssim \gamma\delta H$, different regions of the container may have different sign of the frequency shift and the interpretation of the observed unidentified features, marked with A in Figs. 1 and 2, is complicated.

Zero-Magnetization Mode.—The $p \approx 0$ state has been observed in both containers at $\omega_{\text{RF}} > \omega_L$ (Fig. 2). This mode is strongly affected by dissipation and the dipole torque. The existence of states with $p \approx 0$ was first discussed in Ref. [8]. However, the observed ZM state has no simple analytic description and we compare it to numerical simulations (Fig. 4). The identification of the state is supported by measurements of the magnitude of S with pulsed NMR. We have found that the FID amplitude decreases with increasing frequency shift from $p \approx 0.3$ at small frequency shifts down to about the noise level $p \sim 0.02$ at larger shifts. The FID amplitude varies with time in a manner which shows that $\mathbf{S}$ is not exactly parallel to $\mathbf{H}$. All these features are in agreement with computer simulations, which also show that at large frequency shifts the transverse magnetization $S_{\perp} \propto T_c - T$ does not depend on H_{RF} . These properties were checked and confirmed in the quartz cell.

Discussion.—There are differences in the results obtained with the two containers. The HM states were not observed in the quartz cell while the BS mode was not seen in the lavsan cell. This may depend on cell geometry and dimensions, field inhomogeneity, or relaxation at the walls. T_1 was measured to be 40 s in the quartz and 1.1 s in the lavsan cells in the normal phase just above T_c . In the simulations surface relaxation is lumped into an effective bulk T_1 . The creation of the new states is found to be sensitive to the choice of T_1 . Most likely the differences arise from the fact that a textural transition to the BS mode triggers a transition to the HM or ZM states in the lavsan cell where they are more easily created in the whole volume simultaneously.

Conclusions.—We have observed new precessing modes in $^3\text{He-B}$. Some of these had been proposed theoretically [7,8,9], but it was not known how to obtain them in stable

state. It is now clear that these modes can appear only after an initial deflection of $\mathbf{S}$ by $\beta \approx 180^\circ$, where the HPD mode with $\mathbf{L} \parallel \mathbf{H}$ becomes unstable towards a reorientation to $\mathbf{L} \perp \mathbf{H}$. Far from T_c it is difficult to reach this region of instability or to stay there for the time needed to reorient $\mathbf{L}$. This is prevented by the dipole torque and by Leggett-Takagi dissipation, which rapidly grows with increasing β above θ_L . We have shown that favourable conditions for the new states exist in the vicinity of T_c , where $F_D \propto T_c - T$ is small, and conventional modes with $S = S_{eq}$ can be destabilized. The HM4 state should have the same phase coherent features as the HPD. It will be interesting to study its spin-superfluid properties and whether it can also be stabilized in the limit of low T where dissipation is small.

-
- [1] A. Borovik-Romanov, Yu. Bunkov, V. Dmitriev, and Yu. Mukharsky, *JETP Letters* **40**, 1033 (1984); I.A. Fomin, *ibid.* **40**, 1037 (1984).
 - [2] Yu.M. Bunkov, S.N. Fisher, A.M. Guénault, G.R. Pickett, and S.O. Zakazov, *Physica B* **194**, 827 (1994).
 - [3] G. Nunes, C. Jin, D.L. Hawthorne, A.M. Putnam, and D.M. Lee, *Phys. Rev. B* **46**, 9082 (1992); H. Akimoto, O. Ishikawa, G.H. Oh, M. Nakagawa, T. Hata, and T. Kodama, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **82**, 295 (1991).
 - [4] V.V. Dmitriev and I.A. Fomin, *JETP Lett.* **59**, 378 (1994); V.V. Dmitriev, V.V. Moroz, and S.O. Zakazov, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **101**, 141 (1995).
 - [5] I.A. Fomin, *Physica B* **169**, 153 (1991).
 - [6] D. Vollhardt and P. Wölfle, *The Superfluid Phases of ^3He* (Taylor & Francis, London, 1990).
 - [7] W.F. Brinkman, H. Smith, *Phys. Lett.* **53 A**, 43 (1975).
 - [8] E.B. Sonin, *JETP* **67**, 1791 (1989).
 - [9] G. Kharadze, G. Vachnadze, *JETP Lett.* **56**, 458 (1992).
 - [10] G. E. Volovik, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **5**, 1759 (1993).
 - [11] I.A. Fomin, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **31**, 509 (1978).
 - [12] D.D. Osheroff and L.R. Corruccini, *Proc. 14th Intern. Conf. Low Temp. Phys. LT-14*, Vol. 1, p. 100 (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975).
 - [13] A.S. Borovik-Romanov, Yu.M. Bunkov, V.V. Dmitriev, and Yu.M. Mukharskii, *JETP Lett.* **37**, 716 (1983).
 - [14] V.L. Golo, A.A. Leman, and I.A. Fomin, *JETP Lett.* **38**, 146 (1983).